INDIANA BOARD OF TAX REVIEW

Final Determination Findings and Conclusions Lake County

Petitions: 45-026-02-1-5-01248

45-026-02-1-5-01249

Petitioner: Larry Shoulders

Respondent: Department of Local Government Finance

Parcels: 007-26-33-0158-0029

007-26-33-0158-0030

Assessment Year: 2002

The Indiana Board of Tax Review (the Board) issues this determination in the above matter, and finds and concludes as follows:

Procedural History

- 1. The informal hearing as described in Ind. Code § 6-1.1-4-33 was held on January 16, 2004. The Department of Local Government Finance (DLGF) determined that the Petitioner's property tax assessment for the subject property and notified the Petitioner on March 31, 2004.
- 2. The Petitioner filed a Form 139L for each parcel on April 26, 2004.
- 3. The Board issued a notice of hearing for each parcel dated September 2, 2004.
- 4. Special Master Barbara Wiggins held the hearing in Crown Point on October 7, 2004.

Facts

- 5. The subject property is located at 6255 and 6257 Monroe, Hammond.
- 6. The subject property is a vacant parcel consisting of 0.073 acres and another parcel with a duplex on 0.073 acres.
- 7. The Special Master did not conduct an on-site visit of the property.
- 8. Assessed Value of subject property as determined by the DLGF:
 Parcel 007-26-33-0158-0029 Land \$9,000 Improvements \$50,600 Total \$59,600
 Parcel 007-26-33-0158-0030 Land \$7,200 Improvements \$0 Total \$7,200
- 9. Petitioner did not request a specific assessed value amount.

10. Persons sworn as witnesses at the hearing:

For Petitioner — Larry Shoulders, Owner,

For Respondent — David Depp, Senior Appraiser, Cole-Layer-Trumble.

Issues

- 11. The Petitioner contends that the assessments should be lower because in 1999 the house and land were valued much lower than the current combined assessment.
- 12. The Respondent contends the property is valued fairly based on actual sales that occurred in the area and no change in assessment is warranted.

Record

- 13. The official record for this matter is made up of the following:
 - a. The Petitions, (one for each parcel),
 - b. The tape recordings of the hearing labeled Lake Co. 426,
 - c. Exhibits (It is noted that the exhibits encompass 7 separate petitions),

Petitioner Exhibit 1: Notice of Hearing on Petitions,

Petitioner Exhibit 2: Property record cards,

Petitioner Exhibit 3: 1992 Purchase Agreement for Truman Locations,

Petitioner Exhibit 4: Court Decree with property values (pages 1, 4, 7 only),

Petitioner Exhibit 5: Receipt for 1990 appraisals,

Petitioner Exhibit 6: Newspaper article concerning tax sales,

Petitioner Exhibit 7: Summary of Argument,

Petitioner Exhibit 8: Notices of Final Assessment,

Respondent Exhibit 1: Form 139L Petition for each parcel,

Respondent Exhibit 2: Subject property record card for each parcel,

Board Exhibit A: Form 139 L for each parcel,

Board Exhibit B: Notice of Hearing for each parcel,

Board Exhibit C: Sign in Sheet,

d. These Findings and Conclusions.

Analysis

- 14. The most applicable governing cases are:
 - a. A Petitioner seeking review of a determination of an assessing official has the burden to establish a prima facie case proving that the current assessment is incorrect, and specifically what the correct assessment would be. *See Meridian Towers East & West v. Washington Twp. Assessor*, 805 N.E.2d 475, 478 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2003); *see also, Clark v. State Bd. of Tax Comm'rs*, 694 N.E.2d 1230 (Ind. Tax Ct. 1998).

- b. In making its case, the taxpayer must explain how each piece of evidence is relevant to the requested assessment. *See Indianapolis Racquet Club, Inc. v. Washington Twp. Assessor*, 802 N.E.2d 1018, 1022 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2004) ("[I]t is the taxpayer's duty to walk the Indiana Board . . . through every element of the analysis").
- c. Once the Petitioner establishes a prima facie case, the burden shifts to the assessing official to rebut the Petitioner's evidence. *See American United Life Ins. Co. v. Maley*, 803 N.E.2d 276 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2004). The assessing official must offer evidence that impeaches or rebuts the Petitioner's evidence. *Id.; Meridian Towers*, 805 N.E.2d at 479.
- 15. The Petitioner did not provide sufficient testimony to support his contention. This conclusion was arrived at because:
 - a. Indiana's 2002 general reassessment must reflect the market value of property as of January 1, 1999. *See* 2002 REAL PROPERTY ASSESSMENT MANUAL at 4, 12.
 - b. The Petitioner contends the land assessment is too high because his half interest in the adjacent home and the subject lot were valued in 1999 for \$16,500 as part of a divorce settlement. The Petitioner testified that a certified appraiser was employed to do the appraisal, but he was unable to obtain a full copy of the appraisal as proof of the validity of the appraised values submitted in Exhibit 4. The Petitioner offered receipts for appraisals done in 1990 for the Estate of Velma Shoulders, not for appraisals done for the divorce in 1999. Furthermore, Petitioner's Exhibit 4 clearly states that the Court appointed an appraiser to appraise the marital residence; it says nothing about appraisals on the rental properties. In fact, there is no support in the evidence Petitioner has offered for the values shown in the divorce decree. This information does not satisfy Petitioner's burden to prove that the current assessment is wrong or what the correct assessment should be. *Meridian Towers*, 805 N.E.2d at 478; *Clark*, 694 N.E.2d 1230.
 - c. Petitioner has failed to establish that either the 1992 sale or the 1999 divorce decree is probative evidence regarding the market value of this property as of January 1, 1999. His conclusory statements do not constitute probative evidence and they carry no weight in establishing his case. *Whitley Products v. State Bd. of Tax Comm'rs*, 704 N.E.2d 1113, 1119 (Ind. Tax Ct. 1998).

Conclusion

16. The Petitioner did not make a prima facie case. The Board finds in favor of the Respondent.

Final Determination

In accordance	with the abo	ve findings ar	d conclusions,	, the Indiana	Board of Tax	k Review now
determines tha	it the assessn	nent should no	ot be changed.			

Commissioner,	ISSUED:	 	
Commissioner			
	Commission	 	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

- APPEAL RIGHTS -

You may petition for judicial review of this final determination pursuant to the provisions of Indiana Code § 6-1.1-15-5. The action shall be taken to the Indiana Tax Court under Indiana Code § 4-21.5-5. To initiate a proceeding for judicial review you must take the action required within forty-five (45) days of the date of this notice.